

Time Extension Request Form

Part XX, Municipal Government Act (MGA)

Instructions: This form is intended to guide municipalities when requesting permission from the Office of the Information and Privacy Commissioner (OIPC) to extend the time to respond to an access to information request as set out in s. 469 of MGA. Please consult the OIPC's publication: Time Extension Request Guidelines for Municipalities for further important information on what to include on this form. Please submit this form via email to oipcns@novascotia.ca at least 5 business days before the statutory deadline to respond to the access request. Time extension requests received less than 5 business days prior to the statutory deadline to respond are not guaranteed to be processed within the statutory timelines. The OIPC will not approve a time extension request after the statutory deadline for responding has passed.

Municipality Municipality requesting time extension: Municipality file # Contact name: Contact direct line: Contact email address: **Request Details** Date access request received: Original due date of request: Provide the exact wording of the access request and include the exact wording of any amendments (please remove all personal information from the wording before including it here): Current due date of request: Proposed new due date: Was the request transferred from another ☐ Yes □ No municipality? Was the transfer completed within 10 days? ☐ Yes ■ No Was a late transfer requested from the OIPC ☐ Yes ■ No under s. 470 of MGA? OIPC file number for late transfer request:

_		
Fees Fee estimate issued?	☐ Yes	□ No
Date fee estimate sent:	163	4 140
Fee waiver requested?	☐ Yes	□ No
Date fee waiver requested:	1 163	- No
Date of fee waiver decision:		
Fee waived?	☐ Yes	□ No
Fee paid:	☐ Yes	□ No
Date deposit or full fee paid:	1 163	1 10
Date deposit of full fee paid.		
On Hold		
If the request was placed on hold for a non-dates, reason(s) and details of any commun hold status:		
Municipality Time Extension		
Did this municipality take a time	☐ Yes	□ No
extension?		_ 113
Reasons for time extension	469(1)(a) failure to provide sufficient
(check all that apply):	detail	,
	□ 469(1)(1	b) volume + unreasonable
	interfere	ence
	469(1)(c) consultation required
Date time extension taken by municipality:		
Date applicant notified of time extension:		
Has the applicant complained to the OIPC	☐ Yes	□ No
about this time extension?		
OIPC file number for time extension		

complaint (review):

Time Extension Request to OIPC First time extension request to OIPC for this access request? (If yes, complete the □ Yes □ No
rest of the form).
If this is not the first time extension request for this file, the OIPC file number(s) for previous time extension request(s):
Explain why the first time extension granted was not sufficient to complete this request:
Describe any changes since the previous time extension was granted:
Describe the progress made on this file since the previous time extension was granted:
Grounds for Time Extension Request
Please select the ground(s) for the time extension request, then complete the section(s) that follow for the ground(s) you have selected. You may choose more than one ground for the time extension application.
☐ 469(1)(a) failure to provide sufficient detail
☐ 469(1)(b) volume + unreasonable interference
☐ 469(1)(c) consultation required

☐ 469(1)(a) failure to provide sufficient detail			
Note: If you have selected this ground you must forward a complete copy of the original request and correspondence with the applicant regarding clarification to the OIPC along with your time extension application:			
Access request and clarification correspondence	attached as F	PDF	
Access request and clarification correspondence sent by fax			
a) What is unclear about the wording of the request? Why identify the requested records?	is more deta	il required to	
b) Has this municipality contacted to applicant to clarify?	☐ Yes	□ No	
c) Date applicant was contacted:			
d) Date response was received or anticipated from applicant:			
e) If the applicant was not yet contacted, provide reasons:			
☐ 469(1)(b) large volume + unreasonable interference			
<u>Large Volume</u>			
Provide the following data for this municipality: 1			

	Year	Number
Total number of access requests for one year, as recorded at		
the most recent fiscal year end (April 1 – March 31)		
Total number of responsive pages for access requests for		
one year, as recorded at the most recent fiscal year end		
(April 1 – March 31)		
Municipality's average monthly requests		
(calculate using data provided above: total number of		
requests divided by 12)		
Municipality's average pages processed		
(calculate using data provided above: total number of		
responsive pages divided by total number of requests)		

 $^{^{1}}$ If a time extension is granted under this section and the applicant requests a review by the OIPC, the municipality will be required to produce documentation to substantiate the data supplied here.

1. Large volume of records must be searched If the search has been completed, move to item 2. a) Has this municipality processed previous ☐ Yes ☐ No requests for the same or similar records? b) Describe the typical search for the past year (i.e., number of program areas, boxes of records, number of databases, and/or number of email accounts or other search size or scope indicators): c) Describe the search required for this access request. How is this search different from the typical searches done within the past year? d) Approximately how much time has been spent searching so far? e) How many responsive records have been located so far? f) Approximately how much time will it take to complete the search? <u>Unreasonable interference with the operations of this municipality</u> a) What work has been completed on the access request to date?

b)	Does the type of record require different methods of searching or handling? If so, please explain:		
c)	Have any unusual or unexpected circumstances occurred that affect this nunicipality's ability to process this request? If so, please describe:		
d)	Explain how meeting the time limit would unreasonably interfere with the operations of this municipality:		
	Large volume of records requested		
	as this municipality processed previous equests for the same or similar records?		
b) Number of pages of responsive records for this request:			
Unreasonable interference with the operations of this municipality			
a)	What work has been completed on the access request to date?		

b)	b) Does the type of record require different methods of searching or handling? If so, please explain:			
-)	II		CC 1 1 1	
c)	c) Have any unusual or unexpected circumstances occurred that affect this municipality's ability to process this request? If so, please describe:			
d)	Explain how meeting the time of this municipality:	limit would unreasonably in	terfere with the operations	
	or <u>uns</u> mamerpancy.			
	e municipality is claiming that is for unreasonable interferenc	-	<u>-</u>	
	vide the following data for the			
3.4	.1	m. la D.	m.lp. : p	
MC	onth	Total Access Requests	Total Responsive Pages	
□ 9(1)(c) consultation required				
- 3.3	(47)	C		
a) What is the total volume (number of pages of responsive records) for this entire request?				
b) What work has been completed on the access request to date?				
1				

² If a time extension is granted under this section and the applicant requests a review by the OIPC, the municipality will be required to produce documentation to substantiate the data supplied here.

c) How is the third party or other municipality expected to assist in this municipality's decision?
d) Why is more time required for the consultation(s)?
e) Explain any delay in sending the consultation request(s):
f) Describe any efforts made to obtain a response to the consultation(s):
g) Is the consultation(s) with staff in the same municipality, program area, or with the municipality's legal counsel (see page 7 of Time Extension Guidelines)?

Provide the following details about each third party or municipality to be consulted:³

Name of third party /other municipality	Is this consultation required by s. 482 or is this a discretionary consultation?	Date consultation sent ⁴	# of pages for consultation	Deadline for consultation response
	consultation:			

³ If a time extension is granted under this section and the applicant requests a review by the OIPC, the municipality will be required to produce documentation to substantiate the data supplied here.

⁴ If this is a future date, the municipality must supply information in response to the question (e) above.